



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Admistrative Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

|  |               |                      |                     |                  |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/565,284   | 01/20/2006    | Masaru Nakakita      | 28951,5462          | 7118             |
| 53/067   | 7590          | 12/26/2008           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP<br>1330 CONNECTICUT AVE., NW<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20036 |               |                      | GARCIA, CARLOS E    |                  |
| ART UNIT   | PAPER NUMBER  |                      |                     |                  |
|  | 2627          |                      |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE  | DELIVERY MODE |                      |                     |                  |
| 12/26/2008   | PAPER         |                      |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/565,284 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>NAKAKITA ET AL. |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>CARLOS E. GARCIA  | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2627                |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) CARLOS E. GARCIA, ASSISTANT EXAMINER.      (3) CRAIG A. RENNER, PRIMARY EXAMINER.  
 (2) ROGER W. PARKHURST, REG. NO. 25,177.      (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant      2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes      e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-39.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Attorney was called regarding the after-final remarks submitted. Examiner will re-open prosecution of the instant case to reconsider the claims as previously presented, due to the receipt of the translation for the foreign priority document for the case. A message was left for the Applicant's Attorney indicating the receipt of the after-final and re-consideration of the case.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Carlos E Garcia/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2627

/Craig A. Renner/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627